

## Brain scan studies busted by statistics

03 May 2009

Magazine issue [2706](#). [Subscribe](#) and get 4 free issues.

For similar stories, visit the [The Human Brain](#) Topic Guide

THERE is fresh evidence that the budding field of social neuroscience is producing misleading results because of statistical methods often used to analyse brain scans.

In January, Hal Pashler of the University of California, San Diego, and colleagues, [sparked controversy](#) when they criticised the statistical methods used by a clutch of high-profile research teams to link brain activity to emotions. They said the teams' results could be inflated because random noise was not properly accounted for.

Now [Nikolaus Kriegeskorte](#) of the National Institute of Mental Health in Bethesda, Maryland, and his colleagues report that of more than 100 brain-imaging papers in five top journals that they looked at, 40 per cent use similar methods (*Nature Neuroscience*, DOI: [10.1038/nn.2303](https://doi.org/10.1038/nn.2303)).

Russell Poldrack of the University of California, Los Angeles, says the latest study "will drive more people to take the problem seriously".

### New Scientist

#### Not just a website!

Subscribe to New Scientist and get:

51 issues of New Scientist magazine delivered to your door

unlimited online access to articles from over 500 back issues

#### [Subscribe Now and Save](#)

If you would like to **reuse any content** from New Scientist, either in print or online, please [contact the syndication](#) department first for permission. New Scientist does not own rights to photos, but there are a [variety of licensing options](#) available for use of articles and graphics we own the copyright to.

[Back to article](#)



ADVERTISEMENT

ADVERTISEMENT

